

Thanet District Council (TDC) Equality Impact Assessment

Step one: test for relevance

1 Person responsible for this assessment

Name:	Tom O'Leary									
Job title:	Income M	Income Manager								
Phone:	-	-								
Service are	a:	TLS		Date of assessment:	28-Feb-2024					

2 Others involved in carrying out the analysis

Name:	Ben Summers (ASB Officer)					
Name:	Neesha Kurmman (Project Support Officer)					
Name:	Ty Clayson (Customer Insight Officer)					

3. Description of strategy, policy, service, project, activity or decision

Title:	Rechargeable Works (RWO) Policy							
Is it new?		Yes	No	Х				
A review of	existing?	Yes x	No					
2.1 Aima	and abjective	_						

3.1 Aims and objectives

Consider: what you are doing? why you are doing it? who will benefit?

- setting out how to charge and recover Rechargeable Works Orders (RWO)
- to show consistency and structure to decisions
- · residents and officers will benefit

3.2 What outcomes are expected? Who is expected to benefit?

- to fairly charge and recover costs from repairs that are done by TDC but not a TDC responsibility (e.g. property damage)
- former residents, current residents and TDC

4 Who is affected?

4.1 Which groups or individuals does the strategy, policy, service, project, activity or decision affect? For example, the Council, employees (including temporary workers), other public authorities, contractors, partner organisations, wider community, others.

Residents, staff, contractors			

4.2 Does the strategy, policy, service, project, activity or decision relate to a service area with known inequalities? (Give a brief description).

Yes. Within the demographic of our residents there are people with known inequalities.

5 Equality Act 2010

How does the strategy, policy, service, project, activity or decision actively meet the public sector equality duties to:

Eliminate unlawful discrimination (including harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct)

Residents can appeal if they feel the decision is wrong for any reason

Advance equality of opportunity (between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it)

The policy gives scope to not charge residents for specific reasons, if they are a victim of crime or abuse but not any other characteristics.

Should the policy include a discretionary decision option?

Foster good relations (between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it). Could it have an adverse impact on relations between different diverse groups?

The discretional option would be needed to positively foster good relations.

6 Priority

The following questions will help you to identify whether this 'service' is a high priority. Please answer all questions with particular reference to the protected characteristics; race, gender, gender reassignment, disability, religion or belief, sexual orientation, age, marriage and civil marriage/partnership and pregnancy and maternity.

<u>Please provide a comment for each answer, providing evidence for your answer, regardless whether you have answered yes or no.</u>

	Yes	No
Are there any particular groups who may have trouble accessing the 'service'?		х
Comments: No.		
Does your information suggest that some groups of people are less satisfied than others with this 'service'?		х
Comments:		
Complaints made by residents have fed into the creation of this policy.		
Will this service have a significant impact on any of our residents?	х	
Comments:		
Unpaid RWOs can affect future housing options.		
Do you have any evidence that discrimination, harassment and/or victimisation could occur as part of this service?		х
Comments:		
No. Where there is evidence that the resident is a victim of a crime, the policy states that a charge should not be made.		
5. Do you think the service will hinder communication and negatively impact relations between the organisation and its employees, residents, contractors or anyone else?		х
Comments:		
No. With the addition of a discretionary clause.		
Does this service need to improve the way in which it is communicated to people who have literacy, numeracy or any other access needs?		х
Comments:		
No. This is available on our website and has been checked for plain English and readability.		
7. Does consultation need to be carried out?		х
Comments:		
No. This is an update of an existing policy.		

In order to assess the priority of your '**service**' please complete the table below by adding up how many questions you answered yes to and following the appropriate action.

Priority	Number of questions answered 'yes'	Rating	Action
High	3 or more		Continue to section 2
Medium	1 to 2	х	Please provide evidence to any questions you answered 'yes' to in section 1.
			Test for relevance complete (sometimes a full assessment may be required).
Low	0		Test for relevance complete.

If, following the completion of the test for relevance, a full assessment is not required, go straight to the declaration. If a full assessment is required, go to Step two: full equality impact assessment.

Step two: full equality impact assessment

1 Could the strategy, policy, service, project, activity or decision have a **negative**, **positive** or **neutral** effect on groups or individuals?

Consider:

What you are doing? Why you are doing it? How you are doing it?

Who can access the service easily and who may not be able to access the service and why?

The full analysis explores ways to reduce or eliminate barriers and/or negative impacts.

The full unuly 313 explores ways			J 01	eniminate partiers and/or negative impacts.
Protected characteristics	N e g a t i v e	P	N e u t r a	Evidence/Reasoning (Consider any barriers which will have negative impact and/or good practices giving positive impact)
Age				Recommendations:
Consider:				
The way younger and older people access services may be different				
Use of technology				
 Child care/care of other dependant 				
Timings/flexibility, such as work patterns				
Transport arrangements				
Venue location				
Disability (Includes: physical, learning, sensory (deaf/blind), mental health)				Recommendations:
Consider:				
Communication methods				
 Accessibility – venue, location, transport 				
 Range of support needed to participate 				
 Hearing Loops/Interpreters 				
Disability awareness training for employees				
Race (Includes; gypsy, travelling, refugee and migrant communities)				Recommendations:
Consider:				

 The size of the BME communities that your service/project affects. Language(s) spoken/understood. Culture, such as hygiene, clothing, physical activities, mixed gender activities. What access support 	
can you offer?	
Religion, faith or belief	Recommendations:
Consider:	
The diversity within the communities that your service/project affect	
 Prayer times, meal times, food (some religions do not eat meat), cultural habit or belief, religious holidays such as Ramadan Awareness training for employees 	
Pregnancy and maternity	Recommendations:
Consider:	
 Flexible hours of the service/project Is there access to private area for breastfeeding mothers? 	
Gender	Recommendations:
Consider:	
The impact on men and women	
Child care/care of other dependant	
Mixed/single gender groups/activities	
Timing of services/projects	
Sexual orientation (Includes:	Recommendations:
lesbian, gay, bisexual)	
Consider:	
 LGB people should feel safe to disclose their sexual orientation without fear of prejudice Make it clear you 	
recognised civil	

marriage and partnerships							
 Awareness training for employees 							
Transgender			Recommendations	s:			
Consider:							
 Trans people should be able to disclose their gender identity without fear of prejudice 							
 Making it clear you have a Trans policy and process 							
 Awareness training for employees 							
Marriage and civil			Recommendations	S:			
marriage/partnership							
Consider:							
 All couples or partners, regardless of gender, should be able to access services 							
Outsourced services	de a Us		al bu autamal				
If your policy/process is partly or organisations/agencies (such as	• .		•				
arrangements you plan to ensure			, · ·				
diversity. Include this in your imp	•	-					
Relations between different eq		<u> </u>					
Does your assessment show that							
may amount to potential adverse	impact be	etwe	en different				
equality groups? If yes please ex	cplain how	the	improvement plan				
is going to tackle this issue							
Consultation responses							
Summary of replies from individuals and stakeholders consulted							
including any previous complaints on equality and diversity issues							
about the strategy, policy or proc	ess						

Summary of recommendations								
Actions	Ву	Who	By When					
Declaration								
I am satisfied that a Test for Releva	ance has been carried o	ut on th	ne matter name	ed in this Analysis and				
conclude that a full Equality Impact	t Assessment is not req	uired.						
Yes x No								
If you do not think that a full Equali	- tv Impact Assessment is	requir	red – please gi	ve your reasons.				
, in you do not a limit a lat a lain Equal.	ty impastriososomentic	. oqu	ou piouso gi	vo your roudone.				
I confirm that a full Equality Impact	Assessment has been	omnle	ated.					
T commit that a run Equality impact		ompie	icu.					
Yes No x								
	1							
Signature of Head of Service:		Da	ate:					
Signature of Flead of Service.		De	Date.					
Recommendations agreed:	No 🗌							
Signed:		EIA d	ate:					
(Director):								